BOGOTA PRINCIPLES

Medical technology sector codes of business ethics promote ethical interactions between medical device and diagnostics developers / manufacturers (“Companies”) and Healthcare Professionals1 (“HCPs”). Ethical interactions enhance patient access to the safe and effective use of medical technologies by ensuring appropriate training of HCPs by Companies. Ethical interactions also promote innovation and the ongoing development of advanced medical technologies through legitimate and transparent collaboration between HCPs and Companies to identify, and bring to market new products. Further, ethical interactions facilitate open and transparent business environments free from the high costs of corruption, enhancing the ability of all Companies to participate in global markets.

Ethical interactions ensure that medical decision-making is made in the best interest of the patient. To ensure that relationships meet this standard, interactions between Companies and HCPs should be conducted in accordance with the following principles: Integrity, Independence, Appropriateness, Transparency and Advancement:

**Integrity** means dealing honestly, truthfully, and fairly with all parties.

**Independence** means that HCP interactions with Companies should not skew the HCP’s medical decision making from the best interests of the patient.

**Appropriateness** means that arrangements conform to proper commercial standards, and are accurate and free from corrupt purposes.

**Transparency** means that Companies and HCPs are open regarding significant financial relationships between the parties.

**Advancement** means that relationships are intended to advance medical technology, innovation and patient care.

1 The term “Healthcare Professionals” includes those individuals and entities that purchase, lease, recommend, use or arrange for the purchase or lease of, or prescribe Companies’ medical technology products. This includes both clinical and non-clinical individuals who make product-related decisions of the type described above. This is a broad definition, intended to encompass anyone with material influence over purchasing decisions. Note that there may be laws and other codes applicable to relationships with Healthcare Professionals, including relationships with government employees.
Accordingly, medical technology industry codes of ethics ("Industry Codes") should incorporate, but not necessarily be confined to, the following:

1. Collaborative interactions between Companies and HCPs should preserve independent decision-making by HCPs and public confidence in the integrity of patient care, treatment and product selection.

2. Consultancy agreements between Companies and HCPs should support research and development to advance medical science, develop new technologies, improve existing products and services, and enhance the quality and efficacy of care for patients. Consultancy agreements should not be used as a means of inappropriate inducement.

3. Company support of HCPs’ education, for example through support to third-party educational programs and educational grants, should preserve the independence of medical education and should not be used as a means of inappropriate inducement.

4. Companies may provide training of HCPs on product specific device deployment, use and application to facilitate the safe and effective use of medical technologies by HCPs.

5. Companies should not provide entertainment and recreation to HCPs. Any attendance at entertainment events, consenting or agreeing to receive gifts, commissions, or gratuities of any value shall not be regarded as appropriate for nurturing appropriate business relationships.

6. Company donations for charitable or other philanthropic purposes should support bona fide charitable organizations and missions, and should not be a means to privately benefit an HCP.

7. Free products should not be used as a means of inappropriate inducement. However, Companies may provide reasonable quantities of products to HCPs at no charge for evaluation and demonstration purposes.

To ensure Industry Codes are effective, they should encourage adherence to the following elements that are relevant to a Company’s business:

1. Companies to appoint a senior executive responsible for oversight of the Company’s compliance with the Industry Code.

2. Companies to develop or adopt practical, useful, and meaningful policies, guidance, and tools on how to implement policies consistent with the Industry Code.

---

Inappropriate inducement means a remunerative arrangement intended to inappropriately influence an HCP’s medical decision-making and product selection.
3. Companies to provide effective and ongoing training and education on the Industry Code and the Company’s policies consistent with the Industry Code.

4. Companies’ senior management and governing body, if applicable, commit to support the Industry Code.

5. Companies to institute appropriate internal monitoring and auditing mechanisms.

6. Companies to create safe mechanisms for, and encourage, employees who raise concerns.

7. Companies to communicate company policies consistent with the Industry Code to their Third Party Sales and Marketing Intermediaries (SMIs) with the expectation that the intermediaries will comply with the Industry Code.

In order to promote an ethical commercial environment, cooperation among multiple stakeholders is required. Therefore, it is recommended that Coalition members respect local laws and regulations as well as encourage:

**Medical Device Sector**

- Medical device sector, including diagnostics, industry associations and their member companies to develop and implement Industry Codes consistent with the principles set out above and to consider publicizing those members who have signed onto the Industry Codes, among other steps to encourage adoption of Industry Codes;

- Medical device sector regulators and anti-corruption enforcement authorities to endorse and support Industry Codes;

**Healthcare Professionals**

- HCPs, such as medical and academic institutions and physician groups, to develop and implement codes of ethics consistent with the above principles;

- HCPs, and other health system actors with procurement responsibilities, to develop and make known clear, distinctive, accountable and comprehensive policies on processes and procedures in line with respective government policies on procurement and Industry Codes, if appropriate;

**Government Authorities**

- Government authorities to formulate and promote clear laws and regulations that are objectively applied;
• Government authorities to recognize the important role of Company and HCP interactions to promote the development of new technologies, to strengthen patient access, and to improve proper usage of medical devices;

• Government authorities to work to advance ethical collaborations consistent with the above principles regionally, through regular communication, joint policies, joint capacity building activities, and other forms of collaboration; and

• Government authorities to work together to ensure that the above principles and Industry Codes remain relevant and effective to address new and relevant business arrangements that may emerge.

Guidance for Ethical Third Party Sales and Marketing Intermediary (SMI) Relationships

The Coalition encourages active collaboration within and among Companies, Third Party SMIs, HCPs, and other governmental and non-governmental health system stakeholders in the development and implementation of codes of ethics and compliance programs. Taking into account a variety of risk-based factors, as well as international and local laws, such codes of ethics and compliance programs should include the following elements:

A. **Written Anti-Bribery Policy/Procedure:** Companies and Third Party SMIs should adopt and implement internal policies prohibiting all forms of bribery by any person or entity acting on a Company’s behalf, including Company personnel, Third Party SMI representatives, HCPs and other agents. Such policies should include more detailed measures for common risk areas such as travel, gifts, hospitality, entertainment, grants or donations, research, and capital equipment. Medical device sector industry associations and their member companies should consider communicating to HCPs and other stakeholders their ethical business practices concerning Third Party SMIs.

B. **Risk Assessment:** Companies and Third Party SMIs should evaluate the risk profile for proposed and utilized Third Party SMI arrangements including, for example:

   a. **Companies:** Should assess: (1) the local risk through published corruption indices as well as specific risk profiles of planned or utilized Third Party SMIs; (2) international and local legal requirements, (3) information from Third Party SMIs for potentially unusual arrangements, such as unusually high commissions, high degree of interaction with government officials, marketing budgets, health care provider

---

3 These elements are in addition to an overall compliance program. Where Companies, Industry Codes and other stakeholders already have or may in the future adopt more rigorous self-regulatory policies, nothing in this Guidance should be construed to diminish voluntary efforts to commit to a higher ethical objective.

4 The term “bribery” refers to transfers of something of value to government officials, health care providers or others that may violate applicable international or local laws, ethical principles or Company policies.
corporate affiliation or ownership, and/or off-shore payment accounts, and (4) information available from public sources or employees for potential issues associated with a Third Party SMI.

b. **Third Party SMIs:** Should (1) support Companies’ risk assessments prior to and throughout engagement in activities conducted on the Company’s behalf, (2) assess and communicate international and local legal requirements, (3) disclose potentially unusual arrangements, and (4) maintain accurate records for review.

The Risk Assessment can inform the application of other elements of this guidance.

C. **Diligence Program:** Companies and Third Party SMIs should establish a risk-based, pre-engagement and renewal due diligence program to identify, prevent, and mitigate risks relating to the market in which the Third Party SMI is engaged to operate, as well as any specific activities the Third Party SMI deploys on behalf of the Company. Third Party SMIs are encouraged to engage with local industry associations to advance compliance and training on local code of ethics principles.

D. **Written Contract:** Companies and Third Party SMIs should reach contract terms with each other that include controls and implementation of anti-corruption policies, such as:

- a. Compliance with international and local laws, ethical principles, and Company policies;
- b. The ability to conduct independent audits and monitoring, including access to relevant books and records;
- c. The ability to terminate an engagement for failure to comply with international and local laws, ethical principles, and Company policies; and
- d. Diligence rights upon renewal.

E. **Training and Education:** Companies and Third Party SMIs should undertake initial and provide regular training and education for relevant Company and Third Party SMI personnel on international and local laws, ethical principles, and Company policies. Training should be conducted in the language most appropriate to the audience. Medical device sector industry associations, their member companies and Third Party SMIs should consider joint communication and training with HCPs and other stakeholders on Third Party SMI ethics guidance and relevant Company and Industry Association ethics policies.

F. **Monitor/Audit:** Company and Third Party SMIs should undertake risk-based, routine monitoring, auditing, and other assessments of their relationship for compliance with international and local laws, ethical principles, and Company policies as well as relevant contract terms; and regular certification of Company and Third Party SMI personnel on compliance with on international and local laws, ethical principles, and Company policies.

G. **Appropriate Corrective Action:** Corrective measures should be taken by the relevant party, consistent with applicable international and local laws, if either a Company or Third Party
SMI representative fails to comply with international and local laws, ethical principles, or Company policies, relevant contract terms, or engages in other impermissible or unethical conduct.

**Implementation**

Cooperation among multiple stakeholders is necessary to promote an ethical business environment consistent with this guidance. Therefore, medical device sector industry associations, Companies, Third Party SMIs, HCPs, government authorities, and other stakeholders should consider:

- Implementing codes of ethics consistent with the principles set out above and additional steps to encourage the adoption of this guidance among their respective members and/or employees;

- Encouraging the development and implementation of high-standard, aligned policies and practices consistent with this guidance;

- Undertaking joint communication and training on this guidance and other relevant policies;

- Encouraging regulators and enforcement authorities to acknowledge and support this guidance set out above, and to support steps by stakeholders to implement effective guidance for ethical Third Party SMI relationships; and

- Encouraging all stakeholders to advance ethical collaborations consistent with this guidance, through regular communication, joint policies, joint capacity building, and other forms of collaboration.